

International Journal of Advanced Research in Computer and Communication Engineering Vol. 4. Issue 12. December 2015

Centralized Approach to mutual Exclusion in **Distributed Systems**

Ashish Chauhan¹, B.K. Yadav², Kanupriya³

M.Tech Scholar, Department of Computer Science & Engineering, GRDIMT, Dehradun, India¹

Asst. Professor, Department of Computer Science & Engineering, GRDIMT, Dehradun, India²

Asst. Professor, Department of Electronics & Communications Engineering, SRGC, Muzaffarnagar, India³

Abstract: Distributed System is a system in which hardware or software components are located at network, computer communicate and coordinate their action only by passing messages. Mutual exclusion is a mechanism in which concurrent access to shared resources by several user requests is serialized to secure the integrity of the shared resources. As the number of message exchanged is very crucial parameter for analysing the performance of any mutual exclusion algorithm the proposed algorithm reduces the number of messages exchanged less than 2*(N-1), where N is the number of sites in the system. The number of messages required to allow any site to enter critical section is reduced by using the centralized body. The centralized body has the centralised control over the entire system. Execution of sites in critical section will depend upon the value of their increasing order of their timestamp. All the sites directly communicate with the centralized and the entire algorithm executed as per directions of centralised body.

Keywords: Distributed System, Critical Section, Token, Non-Token, Mutual Exclusion.

I. INTRODUCTION

A Distributed system is a system in which hardware and software are located at network computers which communicate and co-ordinate their actions only by passing messages. Distributed system has a limitation of Shared Memory and absences of Global Clock. Distributed System has an interesting problem of Mutual Exclusion.

Mutual Exclusion is a mechanism in which concurrent It is the time interval a request waits for its Critical Section access to Shared resources by several user, requests is serialized to secure the integrity of the Shared Resources. Mutual Exclusion requires that actions performed by the user on shared resources must be atomic. The problem of Mutual Exclusion frequently arises in Distributed System whenever concurrent access to shared resources by several sites is involved. To maintain the consistency of a system, it is necessary that the shared resources will be accessed by single user at a time.

Distributed Mutual Exclusion algorithm can be classified into two types -

A. Token based algorithm- In Token based algorithm a unique token is shared among all the sites and a site is allowed to enter its Critical Section only if it posses the valid token. Token based algorithm uses Sequences no, every time a site makes a request for a token, it increments its sequences no counter and merge it with request messages. Example of Token based are Raymond's algorithm (log (N) messages) and Suzuki Kasami Algorithm (N messages).

B. Non-Token based algorithm-In Non-Token based algorithm a site communicate with set of others site to decide who should execute critical section next. This algorithm uses the Timestamp to order the request for Critical section in order to avoid the conflict between sites. Example of Non-Token based are Lamport's algorithm

3(N-1) messages and Ricart-Agrawala's algorithm 2(N-1) messages.

II. PERFORMANCE METRICS OF MUTUAL EXCLUSION ALGORITHM

A. Response Time

Execution to be over after its Requested messages have been sending out. Smaller the Response Time betters the performance.





B. Synchronization Delav

The time interval after the site exits the critical section and before the next site enters the critical section is called synchronization delay. Smaller the synchronization delay better the performance is.



Fig.2 Synchronization Delay



International Journal of Advanced Research in Computer and Communication Engineering Vol. 4, Issue 12, December 2015

IJARCCE

C. Number of Messages necessary per critical section Invocation

Before entering in the Critical section, site sends a request by top entry of id queue and remove the top entry from id messages to all the sites and after the execution of Critical section site send a reply messages to all other sites. Lesser pointed by the top entry of the timestamp queue after that the No. of Message s necessary or Critical section it sends ENTER message to next site. invocation betters the Performances.

D. System Throughput

The rate at which system executes the request for Critical Section is known as System Throughput. Maximum the System Through put better the Performances.

System Throughput= 1/(SD + E)

Where SD is Synchronization Delay and E is Average Critical Section Execution Time.

III. PROPOSED WORK

A. System model

The centralized body is used which controls the overall functioning of the system. All the sites communicate with the centralized body. Centralized body accept the request of sites and allow them to enter into the critical section according to their timestamp.

There are 'm' sites (where m < n) m denotes number of sites wants to enter in critical section and 'n' denotes total number of sites.

Two queues are associated with centralized body

- 1. One for storing the Ids of the sites.
- 2. Another for storing the timestamp in increasing order of the respective site.

At any time site may have several request for critical section. A site queues up this request and serves them one at a time.

A site can be in one of the three states-

- 1. Requesting Critical Section:-the site is blocked and cannot make further requests for Critical section
- 2. Idle:-In idle stat e site is executing outside its critical section (no conflict).
- 3. Executing:-the site is executing in its critical section.

IV. ALGORITHM

A. Requesting the Critical Section

- 1. The requesting sites sends the r request message in the C. Releasing form [TS_i,i] to centralized body where TS_i is the After executing the Critical Section for the time period timestamp and 'i' is ID.
- 2. When centralized body received the request message it performs following tasks.

When the sites requests their timestamp is stored in Timestamp Queue in increasing order and accordingly their ids are stored in Id Queue.



Fig.3 Requesting CS

B. Executing

Centralised body send s a ENTER message to id pointed queue. Centralised body become idle for the time period







Site 3 can execute the CS



Fig.3 Executing CS

pointed by the top entry of timestamp queue, site release the Critical Section and removes the top entry of the timestamp queue.



Site 5 releasing the CS

Fig.4 Releasing CS



International Journal of Advanced Research in Computer and Communication Engineering Vol. 4, Issue 12, December 2015

V. IMPLEMENTATION

The proposed algorithm is demonstrated f or 7 sites out of which 5 sites want to enter in the Critical Section. Here S_1 , [3] S3, S5, S6, S7 request for the Critical Section execution to the Centralized Body.

Console 23 <terminated> NonToken [Java Application] C:\Users\Purvi Bansal\AppData\Local\Genuitec\Co Please enter the no. of site Enter no. of sites that want to execute their critical section Enter the id of the sites Please Enter the timestamp for S1 Please Enter the timestamp for S3 Please Enter the timestamp for S5 Please Enter the timestamp for S6 Please Enter the timestamp for S7 Site with id Slwith time interval 2 seconds After releasing from CS Site with id S5with time interval 2 seconds After releasing from CS Site with id S7with time interval 3 seconds After releasing from CS Site with id S3with time interval 4 seconds After releasing from CS Site with id S6with time interval 6 seconds After releasing from CS

Fig.5 Implementation

VI. CONCLUSION

This algorithm implements the Mutual Exclusion in a system. The modification is made by the advantage of centralized body. This centralized body has control over the entire system.

The modification is done by the use of centralized body. Through the use of centralized body the number of messages necessary per critical section invocation is reduced to 2m.

All The sites executes in an increasing order of their timestamp. Sites only communicate by passing messages to the centralized body and we see that the numbers of messages are reduced as there is no node to node communication takes place. Proposed algorithm uses fewer messages, operates faster and has a centralized control.

REFERENCES

 G. Ricart and A. K. Agrawala, &ldquo, "An Optimal Algorithm for Mu tual Exclusion in Computer Netw orks &rdquo, *Co mm".ACM*, vol. 24, no. 1, pp. 9-17, Jan. 1981.

- [2] J.-H. Yang and J. An derson, &ldquo,Time Bounds for Mutual Exclusion and Related Problem s &rdquo, *Proc. 26th Ann. ACM Symp. "Theory of Co mputing*, pp. 224-2 33," May 1994.
 [3] D.A grawal, A.El. Ab badi, "An efficie nt and fault toler ant
- [3] D.A grawal, A.El. Ab badi, "An efficie nt and fault toler ant solution for distributed mutual e xclusion" ACM Transaction on Co mputer Systems 9 (1) (1991) 1 – 20.
- [4] Mo harram Challeng er, PeymanBaya t&Mohammad Reza Meybodi (20 06)"A reliable optimization on distributed mutual exclusion alg orithm", IEEE Conference: Testbed s and Research Inf rastructures for the development of n etworks and communities - TRIDEN TCOM pp.
- [5] Ricart, G., and Agra wala, A.K.: (Jan. 1981) "An Optima l Algorithm for
- Mu ual Exclusion inC omputer Networks", Commun.of th e ACM,vol. 24, pp. 9-17
- [6] B.A. Sanders "The information structu re of distributed m utual exclusion alg orithms", ACM T ansactions on Co mputerSystems 5 (3) (1987) 284–299.
- [7] R. Cypher "*The communication requi ements of mutua exclusion*". In Pro ceedings of the 1 th Annual Symp sium on Parallel Algorithms and Architectures, pp.147{156, 1995.